



CRWP-5088-2026

1

150

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-5088-2026****Date of Decision: 30.04.2026****BIRPAL KAUR AND ANR****....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Manas Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also issuance of direction to respondent No. 4 and their associates or any other person at their behest to not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 29.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ludhiana wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits



CRWP-5088-2026

2

that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ludhiana to look into their representation dated 29.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

- 3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.
- 4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
- 5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Ludhiana to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
- 6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

30.04.2026
remu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No