



CRM-M-25162-2026

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-25162-2026

Date of decision : 08.05.2026

PARKASH

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439 Cr.P.C.) seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 190 dated 18.06.2025 under section 305, 112 & 61 of the BNS, 2023, (correspondent Sections 380 & 120(A) of IPC), registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.06.2025, the complainant reported theft of her black colour Scorpio Car bearing registration No.HR26-FB-3199, which was later recovered from the possession of the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and there is no incriminating material against him which would connect him with the alleged offence. He further submits that the petitioner, who is 22 years of age, is in custody for the last more than 08 months and 15 days. It is also submitted that although the

**CRM-M-25162-2026****-2-**

petitioner is involved in several other cases but he is on bail in most of them. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time as only charges have been framed but none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court, which is taken on record. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He, upon instructions, submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 08 months and 15 days. He, upon instructions, submits that only charges have been framed but none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts that the petitioner, who is 22 years of age, is in custody for the last more than 08 months and 15 days and that the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude as only charges have been framed but none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



CRM-M-25162-2026

-3-

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

May 08, 2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No