



236-II

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-1840-2019
Date of decision: 28.01.2026**

SUKHDEV SINGHAppellant

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present: Mr. Hargun Sethi, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Ms. Pooja Nayar Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the private respondent submits that the matter stands settled between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that the clients are not responding to him. On this, learned counsel for the private respondent submits that such response itself substantiates his statement that the matter has been settled between the parties. Even otherwise, the appeals had to be transferred to the Sessions Court in view of the judgment in '*M/s Celestium Financial Vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc. 2025*



INSC 804: 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208’.

3. Given this background, the leave to appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. In case compromise had not taken place, liberty is reserved to file appeal afresh before the Sessions Court by assigning reasons, if the matter is not compromised and by explaining the delay. It is further clarified that the time during which the appeal was remain pending before this Court shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation and the limitation to file appeal would start from today.

Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

28.01.2026
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No