



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

CRM-M-24633-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.05.2026

Sahil

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Tanya Vashist, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Paras Talwar, Sr. DAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This third petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.298 dated 28.07.2023, registered under Section(s) 148, 149, 120-B, 302, 411, 476 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25(1) (a) of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Farukh Nagar, District Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated in the present case on the disclosure of co-accused. It is contended that all the material witnesses stand examined. It is submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.08.2023. He further submits that similarly placed co-accused/Sandeep @ Doctor has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 16.03.2026 passed by this Court

in CRM-M-62484-2025 titled as ‘Sandeep @ Doctor Vs. State of Haryana’ and the case of the petitioner is at par with him.

3. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute that the case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused/Sandeep @ Doctor, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court.

4. Without adverting any further to the merits of the case and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances as noted above, including the fact that co-accused/Sandeep @ Doctor has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is ***allowed*** and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

07.05.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No