

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC:065421



(129)

CRM-M-25773-2025

Sukhjinder Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

Decided on : 30.04.2026

Date of uploading: 30.04.2026

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila & Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocates
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Sumeet Goel, J. (Oral):

1. The substantive prayer made in the instant petition reads thus:

“It is therefore respectfully prayed that present criminal miscellaneous may kindly be allowed and the following relief may kindly be granted:-

i) To direct the respondents No. 1 to 3 to conduct an inquiry/investigation into the false implication of the daughter of the petitioner at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 9 in FIR No dated 14.03.2025 registered at Police Station B Division Amritsar under Section 21 (b)/ 61 of the NDPS Act by a Senior Police Officer not below the rank of Superintendent of Police and further to prosecute respondents No. 4 to 9 for misusing their position and for falsely implicating the daughter of the petitioner which is evident from the photographs taken from the CCTV footage (Annexure P-2) and further the video of the CCTV footage which shall be produced on record at the time of arguments.

ii) With a prayer that the present FIR No. 50 dated 14.03.2025 registered at Police Station B Division Amritsar under Section 21 (b)/ 61 of the NDPS Act may kindly be quashed along with all consequential proceeding arising there from keeping in view the fact that the same is a result of misuse of power and against all cannon of law equity and justice.”

2. It is not in dispute that the petitioner (herein)—Sukhjinder Kaur is an accused in the FIR in question. Further, it is the conceded position before this Court that the co-accused in the FIR namely Rajbir Kaur is out on bail.
3. In the considered opinion of this Court, there is no basis with the present petitioner to espouse the cause of the accused pleaded in the petition. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed for want of *locus*.

April 30, 2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No