

2026:PHHC:067100



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CRWP-5060-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Priya Gupta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Kanhyia Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the present petition, petitioners seek protection of their life and personal liberty at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 7 and further a direction to the private respondents not to interfere with the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are major and are living together without tying the nuptial knot, against the wishes of the private respondents.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and waives service. As the matter has been filed today itself and listed upon mentioning, learned counsel for UT



Chandigarh sought a pass over to have instructions.

5. On resumed hearing, learned counsel for UT Chandigarh has produced a copy of the statement suffered by petitioner No.1, today itself, wherein she has stated that there is no threat and she has also spoken to her father over phone. She has requested therein that none of the private respondents to call her in future. A copy of the said statement is taken on record as Annexure R-1.

6. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and he seeks withdrawal of the same, at this stage.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn.

April 30, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No