



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

268

CWP-14062-2025

Date of Decision: 27.04.2026

RAM MEHAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate on behalf of
Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate with
Ms. Anjali Singh, Advocate
For respondent Nos. 2 to 5

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of impugned order/reply dated 06.05.2022 whereby his claim was dismissed by the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent, on being pointed out report dated 26.05.2026 of XEN Sub-Urban Division, Panipat which is foundation of impugned communication dated 06.05.2022, submits that as per his instructions from Mr. Narender Jaglan, SDO, the matter would be re-considered as per applicable policy. The respondent has received advice



from Legal Remembrancer to process the matter, thus, needful would be done within six weeks from today.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of. Let the needful be done within six weeks from today.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No