



CRM-M-24819-2026

-1-

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24819-2026

Date of Decision: 07.05.2026

SUKHJIT KAUR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0026 dated 04.02.2026 registered under Sections 108, 3(5) and 61(2) of BNS at Police Station Sadar, District Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, the son of the complainant Jagmeet Singh, who was married to Amandeep Kaur, daughter of the petitioner, committed suicide on account of the harassment being meted out to him by his wife.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The matrimonial dispute, if any, was not such as would amount to abetment. As the petitioner is in custody since 04.02.2026 but none of the 26 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, she is entitled to the concession of bail.

**CRM-M-24819-2026****-2-**

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel contends that the nature of the allegations are grave, and therefore, she is not entitled to the concession of bail as prayed for. He, however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 04.02.2026 and that none of the 26 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is a first-time offender, in custody since 04.02.2026 but none of the 26 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner Sukhjit Kaur W/o Ranjit Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

07.05.2026

Kusum

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**