



CWP-13335-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(131)

CWP-13335-2026

Date of Decision : April 30, 2026

Pritpal Singh and others**.. Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Jatinderpal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing at considerable length, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the objection regarding population/voting figures has already been brought to the notice of the concerned authorities to contend that delimitation of Municipal Corporation, Bathinda needs reconsideration and let the said consideration be given in case possible in the facts and circumstances of the case for rectification of the same.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Jastej Singh, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any such representation/claim is received at the hands of the petitioners and in case it is possible to grant any relief keeping in view the facts of the case and status of delimitation of wards of Municipal Corporation, Bathinda, the

**CWP-13335-2026****2**

same will be considered and appropriate order on the said representation will be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 30, 2026
harsha

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No