



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

240

FAO-622-2005

Date of Decision:-23.04.2026

Puran ChandAppellant
Versus

Yog Raj and orsRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. R. S. Longia, Advocate, for the appellant.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he could not arrange the paper book and has no instructions in the matter, as his client is not in contact with him.
2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2005, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant in the present appeal to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.
4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.04.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No