



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRWP No.4696 of 2025

DATE OF DECISION : 20th MARCH, 2026

Jasbir

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

* * * *

Present : Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate &
Mr. Paras Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 BNSS, is for issuance order to respondents to release the petitioner on parole for six weeks to under Section 3(1)(d) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners Temporary Release Act 1962 with a further prayer to make arrangement of his daughter's marriage.

2. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already undergone incarceration of more than seven years six months and has never been granted such indulgence earlier. The daughter of the petitioner is of marriageable age and he has to marry her. The son of the petitioner is attaining education and is suffering financial crunch. The petitioner has already lost his wife.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and has opposed the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner.

5. We have heard the counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

6. It is not disputed that the petitioner has undergone incarceration for more than seven years and six months. The petitioner has a daughter of marriageable age and his son is suffering financial hardship, without their being their mother, who has already expired. In such circumstances we are of the opinion that the petitioner may be allowed parole for a reasonable period.

7. In view of the above discussion and considering the settled legal principles, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted parole for a period of four weeks from the date of his release, subject to his furnishing adequate sureties to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate concerned. The District Magistrate shall be at liberty to impose appropriate conditions to ensure the petitioner's return to custody upon the expiry of the parole period.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

20th March, 2026
'raj'

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>