



CRM-M-24463-2026 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-24463-2026 (O&M)

Reserved on: 06.05.2026

Pronounced on: 08.05.2026

Uploaded on: 08.05.2026

JASPREET SINGH ALIAS JASSU

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Argued by: Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J.

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.09 dated 14.01.2026 under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 118(1), 351(1), 191(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Police Station Nabha, District Patiala. Sections 190, 74 and 75 BNS have been added in the FIR during investigation. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Complainant 'GK' reported to the police that on 13.01.2026, when they were celebrating *Lohri* at their house, Sukhchain Singh s/o Jarnail Singh, Gurmeet Singh s/o Pappi, Nikka s/o Nachhatar Singh, Harinder Singh s/o Mithu Singh and Jassu, carrying *dangs*, *kirpans* and sticks along with 4-5 unknown persons carrying *dandas* and rods arrived at about 08:00 P.M. when she was present near the fireplace in the courtyard. They all attacked her with their respective weapons. Harwinder Singh, who was holding a *tangli* in his hand, hit her on her chest whereupon she fell down. Jassu caught her by her hair and dragged her. When she raised alarm, Sukhchain Singh tore her shirt and did wrong act, whereupon her family members arrived and the assailants fled with their respective weapons, threatening to kill her and her family leaving behind one spade, iron *tangli* in the house.



CRM-M-24463-2026 (O&M)

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was behind bars for the last two months. A compromise had been effected between the parties and a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise was filed in this Court vide CRM-M-14325-2026 titled "*Sukhchain Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*". In the quashing petition, statements of the parties had been recorded. He further submits that only simple injury was attributed to the petitioner while the offence under Section 74 BNS was attributed to the co-accused. All other co-accused had been enlarged on anticipatory bail. Petitioner, thus, deserved to be enlarged on regular bail.
4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for regular bail on the ground of nature and substance of allegations.
5. The parties appear to have resolved the matter amicably by way of a compromise on record as Annexure P-2. A petition for quashing of the FIR has also been filed vide CRM-M-14325-2026 titled "*Sukhchain Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*" and is fixed for 28.09.2026. Petitioner has been attributed simple injuries. Offence under Section 74 BNS has been attributed to co-accused. Petitioner is behind bars for the last more than two months. His further detention is not warranted. The petition, accordingly, stands allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.
6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

08.05.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No