



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1. COCP-2065-2026

Poonam Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

Neeraj Sharma and another

... Respondents

2. COCP-2416-2026

Vashudev and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Vijay Singh Dahiya and others

... Respondents

Date of decision : 18.05.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate and
Mr.Vishesh Dhaka, Advocate
for the petitioner in COCP-2065-2026.

Ms.Mamta Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Ritender Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioners in COCP-2416-2026.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from




respondent no.1 as well as respondent no.2, who have appeared through video conferencing has submitted that the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, has recommended the name of the petitioners in both petitions for appointment. A detailed communication in the said regard dated 18.05.2026 has been handed over to the Court, which is taken on record as Mark A. A copy of the same has been given to the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as to the petitioners.

2. Respondent no.2 appearing in person has further submitted that since the recommendation has been done, respondent no.2 would give necessary appointment letters to all the petitioners within a period of 10 days from today. It is submitted that in case the same is not done, the respondents would pay Rs.15,000/- to each of the petitioners as litigation expenses.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petitions be disposed of but the respondents be bound by the statement made before this Court and in case the petitioners are required to file application for revival, then, the respondents be directed to pay litigation expenses also.

4. In view of the above, the present contempt petitions are disposed of. The respondents are bound by the statement made before this Court. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to file an application for revival in case the respondents back track from the statement and in the said circumstances, apart from other action, respondent no.2 would be liable to



pay an amount of Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses to the petitioners in each of the petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 18, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No