



CRM-M-24350-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

254

CRM-M-24350-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.05.2026

SONU

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Abhishek Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.89 dated 29.05.2025 under Sections 137(2) and 87 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 23.04.2026.
2. On 04.05.2026, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 23.04.2026.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot on 12.05.2026. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Nirmaljit Singh was also recorded on 12.05.2026. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:



CRM-M-24350-2026 (O&M)

- “1. *There is only one accused arraigned in the present FIR.*
2. *One accused appeared before the Court, whose name is Sonu and he made his statement.*
3. *As per statement of Investigating Officer, no any additional accused has been added during investigation.*
4. *Accused Sonu never declared 25 25 absconder/proclaimed person, in any case.*
5. *As per statement of Investigating Officer, no offence was added or deleted during investigation, after registration of the FIR.*
6. *As per statement of Investigating Officer, neithes investigation is pending against any of the accused nor any accused has been declared as innocent.*
7. *The name of the complainant is Sakshi and injured/aggrieved is Aarti, who is the daughter of the complainant.*
8. *Injured/aggrieved namely Aarti has appeared and recorded her statement in support of the compromise.*
9. *The Investigating Officer namely ASI Nirmaljit Singh has appeared and recorded his statement.*
10. *As per statement of Investigating Officer, the investigation in the present FIR has been completed and the challan against accused is yet to be presented before the Court.*
11. *The compromise so arrived between the parties appears to be genuine, voluntarily and out of free will of the parties.*
12. *No any other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused.”*

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in **“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the petition is allowed.



CRM-M-24350-2026 (O&M)

6. FIR No.89 dated 29.05.2025 under Sections 137(2) and 87 of

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 Police Station Division No.2,

District Pathankot and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are

quashed qua the petitioner, on the basis of compromise dated 23.04.2026.
7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

21.05.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No