

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24741-2026
DECIDED ON: 04.05.2026

NISHAN SINGH.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Nishan Singh, aged about 28 years	174	02.09.2024	21/21(C)/29 of NDPS Act	City Tarn Taran	Tarn Taran

2. As per the allegations, on 02.09.2024, petitioner’s co-accused Mangaljit Singh @ Manga along with his co-accused Lovejit Singh @ Labhu were intercepted, and from their possession, 270 grams of Heroin was recovered from the left pocket of the jeans worn by accused Mangaljit Singh @ Manga.

During the course of investigation and after registration of the FIR, on the basis of disclosure statement of the arrested accused, petitioner was also involved in the present case along with one more accused namely, Harpreet Singh @ Peeta on 05.09.2024.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that name of the present petitioner has surfaced in the case, solely on the basis of a disclosure statement made by the co-accused.

Further submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Apart from the disclosure statement of the co-accused, no independent or substantive evidence has been collected by the prosecution to establish the petitioner's involvement in the present case.

Lastly, it is contended that petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and to fully cooperate with the investigating agency. Thus, counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and produces copy of the order dated 08.01.2026 passed by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, whereby petitioner was declared proclaimed person, and submits that petitioner is not entitled for any kind of relief of bail in the present case.

6. In view of the above, this Court does not find any substantial reason to extend the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. **Hence, present petition is dismissed.**

04.05.2026

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>