

2026:PHHC:030759



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207-4

FAO-4548-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.02.2026

Murad Ali

...Appellant

Versus

Ashik Ali @ Guddu and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
2. The following order was passed on 30.10.2025:

“As per office report, notice issued to respondent No.1 on 03.06.2024 and 10.09.2025 has not been received back so far served or otherwise.

Let fresh notice be issued to respondent No.1, both through ordinary process as well as speed post-acknowledge due, returnable for 05.12.2025.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.”

3. In sequel to the aforesaid order, the hereinunder extracted order was passed on 05.12.2025:

“As per office report, respondent No.1 has died.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 25.02.2026.

Learned counsel for the appellant to take necessary steps to implead the legal representatives of respondent No.1 before the next date of hearing.



IOINs stand disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.”

- 4. The case has been called out twice. Today also, neither the appellant nor anyone on his behalf has put in appearance to pursue the present appeal.
- 5. In view of the aforesaid, this Court is left with no other option but to infer that the appellant is no longer interested to pursue the instant appeal.
- 6. Dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 7. However, liberty is reserved to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case any grievance still survives.

February 25, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No