

2026:PHHC:067133



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203-2

FAO-4430-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Dilbar Singh

...Appellant

Versus

State of Delhi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellant.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
2. As per office report, appellant remains unserved with the remark 'not residing at the given address'.
3. Mr. Sushil Dhull, Advocate for Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 (owner of the offending vehicle) and submits that the said respondent, namely Delhi Transport Corporation, is vicariously liable for the acts and conduct of respondent No.3 (driver) being his employer. Therefore, service upon respondent No.3 as well as respondent No.1, i.e. State of Delhi, may be dispensed with.
4. Ordered accordingly.
5. Since the appellant cannot be served in the ordinary manner



and no one has put in appearance on his behalf, the present appeal cannot proceed.

- 6. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 7. However, liberty is reserved to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case still aggrieved.

April 30, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No