

2026:PHHC:067129



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

FAO-4429-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Harwant Kaur and others

...Appellants

Versus

State of Delhi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellants.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellants.
2. As per office report, appellants remain unserved with the remark 'not residing at the given address'.
3. Mr. Sushil Dhull, Advocate for Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 (owner of the offending vehicle) and submits that the said respondent, namely Delhi Transport Corporation, is vicariously liable for the acts and conduct of respondent No.3 (driver) being his employer. Therefore, service upon respondent No.3 as well as respondent No.1, i.e. State of Delhi, may be dispensed with.
4. Ordered accordingly.
5. Since the appellants cannot be served in the ordinary



manner and no one has put in appearance on their behalf, the present appeal cannot proceed.

6. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. However, liberty is reserved to the appellants to seek revival of the appeal, in case still aggrieved.

April 30, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No