



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-13238-2026

Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Baljeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Kamaldip Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to decide and finalize the promotion case of the petitioner to the post of Superintendent Grade-1 before his retirement on 30.04.2026 with all consequential benefits, on the basis of seniority-cum-merit, in view of the recommendation dated 25.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) made by respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of his grievances, the petitioner has also made a representation dated 02.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On receipt of advance copy, Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1/competent authority to decide the representation dated 02.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to him, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to supplement the said representation within a period of two weeks from today.

30.04.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No