



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-3498-2023

Date of decision : 10.03.2026

Hem Raj @ Hemraj Jain

... Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Munish Gupta, Advocate and
Mr.Paras Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Anand Chhibbar, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Inderjeet Singh, Advocate and
Mr.Lalit Thakur, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 21.04.2023 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Appellate Authority, Jind, vide which while staying the operation of judgment dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Rent Controller, Jind, ordering eviction of respondent-tenant, the learned Appellate Court has fixed mesne profits @ Rs.2500/- per month only.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition was filed for enhancement of mesne profits and made a limited prayer that since the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant is of the year 2023 and the same is listed for final arguments on 19.03.2026, thus, the

Ist Appellate Court be directed to decide the said appeal as expeditiously as



possible. It is submitted that learned counsel for the petitioner would be ready to argue the appeal on 19.03.2026 or any other date on which the Ist Appellate Court directs them to argue the matter.

3. On behalf of the respondent, it has been submitted that in the said circumstances, the impugned order be upheld and that the counsel for the respondent would be ready to argue the appeal on 19.03.2026 or any other date, which the Ist Appellate Court grants.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioner as well as the respondent and in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Ist Appellate Court to decide the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant against the judgment and decree dated 21.12.2022 as expeditiously as possible. As undertaken before this Court, the counsel for both the parties would be prepared to argue the appeal on 19.03.2026 or any other date, the Ist Appellate Court grants for the purpose of said arguments.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and it would be open to both the parties to raise all pleas in the main appeal.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No