

counsel to Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Senior Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

4. Learned State counsel submits that with regard to the occupation being carried out by the petitioner, the prohibitory orders in terms of the Notification dated 15.12.2025 are yet to be issued and till any such notification is issued, the petitioner will neither be harassed nor be asked to stop the occupation in view of the Notification dated 15.12.2025 and the said Notification will be implemented only after such prohibitory orders are issued.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case anyone interferes with the working of the petitioners and the same is brought to the notice of the authorities concerned including the Police, appropriate action will be taken and such observations will also be conveyed to the officers of the Municipal Corporation.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present petition as of now; hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further, with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court in case any prohibitory orders are issued in pursuance to the Notification dated 15.12.2025.

7. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04-05-2026
Sapna Goyal

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO