



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13233-2026
DECIDED ON: 08.05.2026**

DR NAGENDER SINGH NEHRA

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

BOARD OF GOVERNORS THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for respondent No.5

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case, especially in the nature of Certiorari quashing the impugned order bearing Endst. Ref. No. NITJ/Estt. /PF/527/3855-61 dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P/25) being vague, non-speaking, cryptic and suffers from perversity and against the well settled principle of law that no person can be judge in his own cause and the Disciplinary Authority cannot act as an Appellate Authority and thus, the impugned order is further without jurisdiction.

Affidavit of Dr. Anish Kumar Sachdeva, Registrar (Incharge) Dr. B.R. Amebdkar National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 submits that the greivance raised in the petition has been addressed by the respondents.

It is pointed out by learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 that the appeal preferred by the petitioner before the Appellate Authority i.e., respondent No.4 is pending adjudication for which he assures the Court that the hearing of the same would be done as expeditiously as possible.

Faced with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he do not wish to press the instant petiton any further as the same has been rendered infructuouns.

Disposed off, having been rendered infructuous.

08.05.2026
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No