

2026:PHHC:071205



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-24506-2026
Date of decision: 07.05.2026

RAMAN BHARTI @ CHINU

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 185 dated 03.12.2025 under Sections 109, 221, 132, 324(3), of BNS 2023 and Sections 25(5), 25(6) of Arms Act, 1959 (Offence under section 25(8) of Arms Act 1959 added later on) (Earlier Sections 307, 186, 353 of Indian Penal Code 1860) registered at P.S. Verowal, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for the last 04 months and 20 days. It is a case of 'no injury'; there is no CCTV footage or independent evidence to connect him with the alleged offence; challan stands presented on 05.03.2026; charges are yet to be framed and in all there are total 21 prosecution witnesses; and he is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State Counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having fired gunshots at the police party at the *naka*. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage, charges having not been framed and the petitioner being not

involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 4 months and 20 days; not involved in any other case; charges having not been framed as yet; there being 21 prosecution witnesses, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

07.05.2026
Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No