



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-2225-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.01.2026

Ved Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

Krishan Kumar Yadav and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Niharika Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Manish Boora, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Contempt Petition filed under Section 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their alleged disobedience of the order dated 12.01.2021 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jhajjar.

2. The SDM, Jhajjar, vide order dated 12.01.2021, had directed the present respondent No.2, who was respondent No.1 before the said SDM, to remove the ramp and balcony within seven days and if he does not remove the same then the Secretary and Municipal Council were instructed to remove the same.

3. The petitioner alleging non-compliance of the said direction has filed the present contempt petition. The respondents have filed reply as



well as by virtue of an application placed on record the photographs to show that due compliance has been done.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although, the ramp has been removed but the balcony has not been fully removed.

5. On the said aspect, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1-Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Jhajjar, has submitted that to the extent no severe damage is caused to the house of respondent No.2 even balcony portion has been removed. It is submitted that any further removal would cause damage to the said house. In support of his arguments, he has referred to the photograph at page 7 annexed along with CM-946-CII-2026 as well as other photographs to show that certain cracks have appeared in the house of respondent No.2. It is further submitted that photographs at page Nos.5 and 6 of the said CM would show that street is now clear and vehicles can pass from the same.

6. This Court after perusing the order dated 12.01.2021 as well as the photographs and reply is satisfied that due compliance of the order has been made and thus, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt petition and same is accordingly disposed of.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

22.01.2026

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No