



**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13284-2026
Date of decision: 20.05.2026

Naresh KumarPetitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned temporary headquarter shifting order dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-1) in view of letter dated 19.11.2025 (Annexure P-4). Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.1 to 3 to shift the petitioner at his original place of posting immediately and the period spent at his temporary place of posting may not be counted in the prescribed period of tenure at his original place of posting.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents-Corporation at the outset submits that the temporary orders have been withdrawn, however, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the instructions dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) and Rule 8 Sub Rule 89 of the Haryana Civil Service (General)

**CWP-13284-2026****-2-**

Rules, 2016, a temporary transfer cannot exceed 180 days, whereas, a prejudice has been caused to the petitioner for keeping the petitioner under temporary transfer for more than 02 years and 06 months and the impugned order dated 19.12.2023 was withdrawn only after the petitioner approached this Court. Further, on account of the time spent on temporary transfer, the period spent at Pundri would be counted towards his tenure at Kaithal under the Online Transfer Policy dated 07.07.2025.

3. As such, learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, submits regarding his second prayer that he would be satisfied if the present petition be treated as a comprehensive representation and the same be decided by respondent No.3 in light of the instructions dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) and Rule 8 Sub Rule 89 of the Haryana Civil Service (General) Rules, 2016 in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition would be considered by passing a speaking order in accordance with the law by respondent No.3.

5. Therefore, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 is directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the claim of the petitioner in light of the instructions dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) and Rule 8 Sub Rule 89 of the Haryana Civil Service (General) Rules, 2016 and pass a speaking order after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receiving a certified copy

of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.3.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No