



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-13140-2026

Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Vinod Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Arpit Dua, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant financial ACP on completion of 04 and 09 years of service from the date of actual completion of 04 and 09 years of service and not from 1st of January of the succeeding years in which the petitioners completed 04 and 09 years of service and grant consequential benefits and necessary arrears in terms of the judgment of this Court passed in ***CWP No.23932 of 2012 titled as 'Dr. Rajesh Gupta v. State of Punjab and others', decided on 31.07.2018*** (Annexure P-2), along with interest @ 12% per annum from the date it fell due till realization.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also served a legal notice dated 22.10.2025 (Annexure P-6) upon the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the

petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On receipt of advance copy, Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the legal notice dated 22.10.2025 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to them, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

30.04.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No