



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-24622-2025
Date of Decision: 10.04.2026**

TALWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Pradhuman Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Guramrit Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate
for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS')], is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.62 dated 16.04.2025, under Sections 126(2), 78, 74, 351(2), 134, 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar (Annexure P-1).

2. Vide order dated 26.02.2026, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation. The said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

“Vide order dated 01.05.2025, this Court passed the following order:

"Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that as per the allegations, the petitioner along with the other co-accused had forcibly taken the complainant, who is of the age of 24 years, into a car so as to get forcibly married to the petitioner. He further submitted that in fact it is a case where the petitioner and the complainant were in a love relationship with each other for a long period of time and were contemplating to enter into a Court marriage but the parents of the complainant were not agreeing to their relationship and under the pressure of her parents, the complainant



got registered the present FIR against the petitioner, which is totally frivolous and false based upon concocted story. In this regard, to substantiate his arguments he referred to Annexure P-2 and Annexure P-3, which are the photographs of the petitioner and the complainant and various WhatsApp conversations between them, respectively, and submitted that love affair was going on between them but the parents of the complainant were not agreeing to their relationship.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has prayed for some time to seek instructions and file reply/affidavit in the present case.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he will file an appropriate application for impleading the complainant as party to the present petition to ascertain the truthful position.

Adjourned to 26.08.2025.

Till the next date of hearing, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed."

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and co-operate.

Adjourned to 16.03.2026 for final arguments.

In the meantime, the petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023;-

(1) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(2) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts to the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to Court or to any police officer.

(3) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Needless to mention that the investigating agency/officer shall continue with the investigation of the case in usual manner.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case."

3. Subsequently, vide orders dated 16.03.2026 & 25.03.2026, the petitioner was again directed to join the investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Keshav Kumar, submits that in compliance of orders dated 26.02.2026, 16.03.2026 &



25.03.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation on 08.04.2026 and is not required for any further investigation.

5. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Orders dated 26.02.2026, 16.03.2026 & 25.03.2026 passed by this Court, are hereby made absolute, subject to the condition enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read as granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved with the investigating agency/complainant to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.), in the event of violation of any term, stipulated under Section 482(2) BNSS, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

April 10, 2026

Ritika

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*