

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

146

2026:PHHC:068276



**CRM-M-24576-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 04.05.2026.**

MANINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Shubhkarman Singh Gill, Advocate, and
Mr. Himmat Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

Seeking quashing of the FIR bearing No.413, dated 07.10.2022, under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 477-A and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the order dated 28.01.2026 framing charge passed by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Guhla, the instant petition has been filed.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner does not dispute that even though the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the order framing charge before the Court of Sessions, however, as he has sought the quashing of the FIR as well, he has chosen to approach the High Court.

It is deemed appropriate that the petitioner at the first instance should challenge the order framing charge in revision before the Court of Sessions and thereafter, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved thereof, he may file a fresh petition impugning both the orders and also seek quashing of the FIR at a later stage.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner in the said circumstances does not press the instant petition at this stage and seeks withdrawal thereof so as to approach the Court of Sessions by way of revision but without prejudice to his rights to seek quashing of the FIR in subsequent proceedings and not restricting the same only to the revisional jurisdiction of this Court.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberties as aforesaid.

May 04, 2026.

raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE