

2026:PHHC:065170



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**162**

**CRWP-4947-2026**  
**Date of Decision: 28.04.2026**

**PAYAL THAKUR ANOTHER**

... Petitioners

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Shivam Vashisht, Advocate for  
Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents, as they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members.

2. Learned counsel states that the petitioners will be satisfied if the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana i.e. respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-5), made to him by them and take appropriate action on the same.

3. As per the averments made, both the petitioners are major and their dates of birth are 07.08.1997 and 01.06.2004, respectively. The copies of their Aadhar cards are appended as Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively. They got married on 23.02.2026 and the Marriage Certificate and photographs

are enclosed with the petition as Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively.

**CRWP-4880-2026**

**-2-**

4. Notice of motion.

5. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has no objection in deciding the representation filed on behalf of the petitioners.

6. In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of their claim, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

7. It is however, made clear that this order shall not preclude the authorities to proceed against the petitioners, in case, they are found involved in any other criminal proceedings, instituted/pending against them if there is some evidence that surfaces regarding their involvement in a cognizable offence, for which they may be required by the investigating agency.

**APRIL 28, 2026.**

*Rajender*

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No