

222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.070336



CRM-M-24304-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

TUSHAR

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Kunal Dawar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 266 dated 29.11.2025 registered under Sections 110, 115, 117(2), 126, 190, 191(3), and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (corresponding to Sections 308, 323, 325, 341, 149, 148, 506 IPC) at Police Station Sector-17, District Faridabad.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.11.2025, the complainant along with Rahul Singh had gone to a gym in Sector-16, Faridabad and while returning, Rahul Singh was allegedly attacked by the petitioner along with 8-10 persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been implicated falsely in the present case. The petitioner has not been named in the FIR. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 05 days. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the

State and Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of the complainant and vehemently oppose the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 05 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR; no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner; he is in custody for the last 05 months and 05 days; the trial of the case is yet to commence and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

06.05.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE