

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 30.04.2026

....Petitioners

...Respondents

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that the denial of benefits to the petitioners under the Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024 (in short ‘the Act of 2024’) despite fulfilling all the conditions is arbitrary and violative of statutory rights. Further, the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.5144 of 2026 titled as ***Dinesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*** decided on 27.02.2026 (Annexure P-6). Moreover, the Bank has already passed a resolution (Annexure P-3) and made recommendation (Annexure P-4), respondents No.2 & 3 have failed to grant admissible benefits to the petitioner in terms of the Act of 2024. The eligibility of the petitioners is not in question. The petitioners are fully eligible under Sections 2 & 4 of the Act of 2024.



CWP-13135-2026

-2-

2.2 At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition be treated as a comprehensive representation and the same be decided in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order after affording the petitioners an opportunity of being heard.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate puts in appearance and accepts on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3 and submits that the grievance raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition would be considered by passing a speaking order in accordance with the law by respondents No.2 & 3.

5. Therefore, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 & 3 are directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the claim of the petitioners in light of the judgment rendered by this Court in *Dinesh Kumar's case (surpa)* and pass a speaking order after affording them an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondents No.2 & 3.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

30.04.2026

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No