



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**IOIN-1-FAO-3642-2006 in/and
FAO-3642-2006**

Date of Decision: 04.05.2026

Azad Thapar

....Appellant

Versus

Om Parkash and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

IOIN-1-FAO-3642-2006

1. The office has listed this case as, despite repeated reminders, respondent Nos.1 and 2 remain unrepresented. The following order was passed on 18.02.2026:-

“Despite learned counsel for the appellant having been informed, as per office report, no steps have been taken to comply with the order dated 28.07.2025, which reads thus:

“Office report perused.

No one has caused appearance on behalf of appellant, despite being informed through e-mail.

Respondents no.1 and 2 has also not been served till date due to non-supply of correct address.

Therefore, upon taking corrective measures by the appellants to serve respondents no.1 and 2, within 15 days from today, let fresh notice be issued to him by the Registry of this Court, returnable on 04.09.2025.

The appellants are at liberty to serve the aforesaid respondents through the counsel appearing, before the tribunal concerned.



**IOIN-1-FAO-3642-2006 in/and
FAO-3642-2006**

-2-

The Registry is directed to inform learned counsel for the appellant about the aforesaid order.”

The present appeal is for enhancement of compensation.

In the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted to counsel for the appellant to comply with the order dated 28.07.2025.

Let notice be also issued to the appellant, returnable for 04.05.2026.”

A copy of record of the Tribunal, if not already received, be requisitioned in the meantime.”

2. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that no such person is residing at the given address. Copy of the record of the Tribunal has since been received.

3. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the present appeal cannot proceed further. Accordingly, the main case is taken on Board.

5. IOIN stands disposed of.

Main Case

6. In view of the factual position noticed hereinbefore, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. However, liberty is reserved to the appellant to seek revival in case, any grievance still remains.

May 04, 2026
Varinder

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No