



**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1215-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.05.2026

**NIRMAL SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND
ANOTHER ... APPELLANTS**

VS.

**FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), PUNJAB & OTHERS
... RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the appellants.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that though, the learned Single Judge has noticed that no objection was taken qua the partition of the 17 kanals of land but, the challenge could have only raised in case such order was brought to the notice of the parties concerned but as the reduction of the area of partition application was never brought to the notice of the appellants at any given point of time, question of challenging the same does not arise. Hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage with liberty to file a review before the learned Single Judge qua the said factual aspect as well as that no fresh mode of partition was issued by passing appropriate order after the reduction of the area.

Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE**

01.05.2026

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No