



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

FAO-3585-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.01.2026

VINOD KUMAR

...Appellant

Versus

ZILE SINGH AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellant.

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. The following order was passed on 12.12.2025:-

“As per office report, despite numerous reminders to learned counsel for the appellant, complete/correct address of respondent No.2 has not been supplied so far.

Learned counsel for the appellant to take necessary steps to serve the aforesaid respondent before the next date of hearing, failing which the main appeal be listed in the urgent list on 14.01.2026.

IOIN stands disposed of.”

2. Today also, the situation is no different. No one appears on behalf of the appellant despite learned counsel for the appellant having been informed by the Registry.

3. In view of the above, it can safely be inferred that the



FAO-3585-2006 (O&M)

-2-

4. Accordingly, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the present appeal and the same stands closed.

5. However, the appellant would be at liberty to seek revival in case any grievance still remains.

January 14, 2026

sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No