



CRM-M-24139-2026 &  
CRM-M-21783-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**245**

**CRM-M-24139-2026**

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

Anmol Giri

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**359**

**CRM-M-21783-2026 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

Deepak Giri

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Yash Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner  
in CRM-M-24139-2026.

Mr. Krishan M. Vohra, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-21783-2026.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

**Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)**

CRM-17116-2026 in  
CRM-M-21783-2026

Allowed as prayed for. Annexures P1 to P-8 are taken on  
record.

**Main cases**

1. By this order, the two above-mentioned petitions are disposed of, both having arisen out of the same FIR.
2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the present petitions praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.22 dated 03.02.2025, registered under Section 109(1), 115, 118(1), 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 29 and 30 of the Arms Act and Section 324(2) of BNS added lateron), at Police Station Sector-7, Panchkula.



CRM-M-24139-2026 &  
CRM-M-21783-2026

-2-

3. Succinctly, facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of complainant Kamal Devi. It was alleged that on 02.02.2025, her son Rahul, aged 23 years, went to attend a wedding in their colony. She received information that Rahul had been injured and taken to Civil Hospital, Sector 6, Panchkula. When she reached the hospital, Rahul informed her that after the food at the wedding was finished, he and his friend Honey went to Kamal Dhaba in Khadak Mangoli to eat. However, a quarrel broke out with Kamal, the owner of the dhaba, over payment, following which Kamal called his son Deepak (petitioner in CRM-M-21783-2026) and three other boys. Thereafter, they assaulted Rahul and Deepak stabbed Rahul in his stomach with knife and one of them fired a shot at him. Owing to the severity of his pain as his intestine came out due to stabbing, Rahul was unable to provide her with much details. Thus, request was made to take legal action against the accused persons. On the registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During the investigation, complicity of the petitioner, namely, Anmol Giri (petitioner in CRM-M-24139-2026) was surfaced and he was also arrayed an accused in the present case. The petitioners were arrested on 03.02.2025. They approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula, praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail applications filed by the petitioners vide order dated 07.04.2026 and 13.11.2025, respectively. Hence, the petitioners have approached this Court praying for grant of regular bail by way of filing the present petition.

4. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the



CRM-M-24139-2026 &  
CRM-M-21783-2026

-3-

petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely and frivolously implicated in the present case.

Learned counsel for petitioner Anmol Giri has submitted that similarly situated co-accused Kamal Giri and Karan Kumar have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 01.04.2026. He submits that the petitioner had also been alleged to have caught hold the injured, namely Rahul, alongwith co-accused Karan Kumar and he fired shot as well. However, there is no fire arm injury suffered by anyone.

Learned counsel for petitioner Deepak Giri has submitted that the petitioner though has been alleged to have caused stab wounds to injured Rahul, however, he has been examined as PW-2 and has not supported the case of prosecution and one eye witness also has not supported the case of the prosecution. The injured specifically deposed before the trial Court that he suffered injuries due to stampede and the petitioners have not caused any injury to him. Injured Rahul also did not identify the petitioners in the Court.

It is, thus, contended that the injured witness as well as eye-witness, have already turned hostile and thus, the case of the prosecution is virtually without any evidence against the petitioners. It is, thus, further submitted that in the overall facts and circumstances, the petitioners deserve to be granted regular bail.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently controverted the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners have played specific role in the commission of offence. She submits that petitioner Anmol Giri caught hold the injured Rahul and he fired shot as well, though there was no fire injury to anyone, whereas, as far



CRM-M-24139-2026 &  
CRM-M-21783-2026

-4-

as petitioner Deepak Giri is concerned, she submits that there are specific allegations against him, who caused stab wounds to the injured and he suffered five injuries. It is submitted that though the injured witness and the eye witness have not supported the prosecution's case in its entirety but the testimony of a hostile witness cannot be discarded altogether and part of the testimony which is consistent with the prosecution case, can still be relied upon. On instructions, she submits that out of total 19 prosecution witnesses, 05 witnesses have been examined till date. She has placed on record the custody certificates of the petitioners.

6. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the case of the prosecution is based on the eye witness account. The occurrence in the present case took place on 03.02.2025. The injured witness as well as eye witness have not supported the case of the prosecution before the trial Court. Co-accused of the petitioners are stated to be granted regular bail by this Court. The custody certificates of the petitioners would show that they have suffered incarceration of 01 year, 03 months & 01 day as on 04.05.2026 and petitioner Deepak Giri has not criminal antecedents, whereas, petitioner Anmol Giri is involved in two other cases, out of which in one case he is on bail. Out of 19 prosecution witnesses, 05 witnesses have been examined till date.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the



CRM-M-24139-2026 &  
CRM-M-21783-2026

-5-

petitioners succeed in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

9. It is being clarified that in case petitioner Anmol Giri does not furnish bail/surety bonds within a period of one week from today, his custody will not be counted in the present case after one week.

10. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**06.05.2026**

sharmila

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/Reasoned : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes/No |