

**CRWP-4930-2026****1****162****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-4930-2026****Date of Decision: 28.04.2026****JASKARAN KAUR AND ANR****.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Yuvraj Singh Sran, Advocate for
Mr. Barjidner Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

**********H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/police protection to the petitioners and also directing the respondent No.4 to 7 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners and further directing the respondent No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondent No.4 to 7 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and life of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 24.04.2026

**CRWP-4930-2026****2**

(Annexure P-3) was also made to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur to look into their representation dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

28.04.2026*renu***(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No