



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-13012-2026

Date of decision: 29.04.2026

Prabhpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to allocate the station to the petitioner as per his merit by taking into consideration the vacancy position in all the Districts of Punjab and not in particular area and to offer the vacant stations which had not been put under the option list and the candidates are being adjusted in pick and choose manner. Further, directions have been sought to permit the petitioner to submit his options for the vacant stations in complete State of Punjab as being offered in other case. Further, directions have also been sought to summon the record of the case especially where decision has been taken only to show 20 stations only in Doaba region to the petitioner though there being ample vacancy throughout the State of Punjab and other persons being adjusted on the said posts including the persons lower in merit, and further to quash the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of his grievances, the petitioner has also served a legal notice dated 11.03.2026 (Annexure P-10) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On receipt of advance copy, Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 11.03.2026 (Annexure P-10) served by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to him, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

29.04.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No