

2026.PHHC:054503



280.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12780-2025

Date of decision: 08.04.2026

Sudhir Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate, for
Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the promotion order dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-9) whereby the petitioner has been debarred from promotion to the post of Lecturer in History, who is having seniority No.41627 whereas his juniors have been promoted first in the year 2017 and now vide impugned promotion order dated 09.09.2024. Further, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner to the said post and to grant him all consequential benefits, as the claim of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment passed by this

Court in ***Bharat Bhushan Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 04.08.2023 in CWP No.2247 of 2016 (Annexure P-1)*** and the judgment passed in ***LPA No.1843 of 2019, decided on 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-10)***.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the judgment dated 09.04.2025 passed in ***LPA No.1843 of 2019*** titled as '***State of Punjab and another v. Karamjeet Kaur***', has been challenged by the State before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) Diary No.46242 of 2025, wherein *status quo* has been granted, vide order dated 16.09.2025, which reads as under:-

*“Delay condoned.
Issue notice.
Learned counsel appearing for the respondent accepts notice. In the meantime, there shall be an order of status quo existing as on today.”*

3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the present petition is squarely covered by the decision in ***LPA No.1843 of 2019*** (supra) and, therefore, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms, however, subject to the final outcome of the aforesaid SLP.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No