

**CRWP-4959-2026****1****163****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-4959-2026****Date of Decision: 28.04.2026****MANINDER KAUR SAINI AND ANR****.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate for the petitioners.

***********H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, order or direction to the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing police protection to the petitioners and further direction to official respondents to decide representation Annexure P5 and further directions be issued to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners illegally at the behest of private respondents. And further directions be issued to private respondents or anybody else not to interfere into the peaceful married life of the petitioners and not to harass the petitioners illegally or forcibly.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 24.04.2026

**CRWP-4959-2026****2**

(Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar to look into their representation dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

28.04.2026*remu*

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No