


CRM-M-24146-2026



231
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-24146-2026

Deepak
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
...Respondent

Date of Decision: May 06, 2026
Date of Uploading: May 06, 2026

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh Lakhlan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case bearing FIR No.423 dated 11.09.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 111(3), 111(4) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 48 and 238(b) of the BNS added later on), at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani.

2. The gravamen of the FIR in question is that two persons, namely Amit @ Golu and Param @ Monty, were apprehended by the police from the Tosham–Bhiwani Bypass. Upon conducting their personal search, the police recovered one pistol along with four live cartridges from the possession of accused Amit @ Golu, whereas one pistol along with one magazine was recovered from the possession of accused Param @ Monty.

CRM-M-24146-2026

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is in custody since 30.10.2025. Learned counsel has further argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question. Learned counsel has urged that the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, and thereafter, on the basis of own disclosure of the petitioner, allegedly one pistol and 70 live cartridges were recovered from the house of the petitioner. Learned counsel has argued that the said disclosure is not tenable in law. Learned counsel has urged that the petitioner is a youngman aged 25 years with clean antecedents. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioners are serious in nature and, thus, the petitioners do not deserve the concession of the regular bail. Learned State counsel also seeks to place on record the custody certificates dated 04.05.2026, in the Court today, which are taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 30.10.2025, whereinafter, the investigation was carried out and the challan *qua* petitioner has been presented on 08.12.2025. Total 34 cited prosecution witnesses have been cited, but none has been examined till date. It is, thus, indubitable that culmination of trial will take long time. The rival contentions raised at Bar give rise to debatable issues, which shall essentially be ratiocinated upon during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage, *lest* it may prejudice the trial. Nothing tangible has been brought

CRM-M-24146-2026

forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the prosecution evidence.

6.1. As per custody certificates dated 04.05.2026 filed by learned State counsel, the petitioners have already suffered incarceration for a period of 06 months and 22 days, & is not shown to be involved in any other FIR(s).

Suffice to say, further detention of the petitioners as an undertrial is not warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

7. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cellphone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

9. Ordered accordingly.

CRM-M-24146-2026

10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of
opinion on the merits of the case.
11. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous
application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

May 06, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No