



CRWP-4901-2026

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

147

CRWP-4901-2026

Date of decision : 28.04.2026

SATPAL AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mameesha Kumari, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. However, the private respondents No.4 to 7 have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 23.04.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, seeking protection, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be

**CRWP-4901-2026****-2-**

satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

28.04.2026

Sonia

**(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No