

CM-1921-LPA-2026 IN
LPA-607-2020 (O&M)

1

2026:PHHC:063784-DB



107+261

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-1921-LPA-2026 IN
LPA-607-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.04.2026

KAMAL VERMA AND ANOTHER

...Appellants

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Dhanora, Advocate
for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-1921-LPA-2026

The prayer in the present application filed by the appellants is for placing on record copies of certain documents as Annexures P-6 to P-14.

Keeping in view the averments mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Copies of certain documents as Annexures P-6 to P-14 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

LPA-607-2020 (O&M)

1. The present Letters Patent Appeal has been filed against the order dated 07.08.2020 in CWP No. 11460 of 2020 passed by the learned Single Judge, by which the order passed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar dated 30.06.2020 (Annexure P-5), directing the appellant to vacate the premises under the



Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (for short, '2007 Act'), has been upheld.

2. Kamal Verma (appellant No.1 herein), who has appeared before this Court, submits that he has already vacated the said premises but submits that the ownership of the premises, in question, is disputed.

3. The senior citizen, who appears in person alongwith his counsel, submits that the premises is in his possession.

4. Keeping in view the fact that the order passed by the authorities under the 2007 Act for eviction has already been complied with and for the last six years the appellant is not residing in the premises, the only question which arises is whether the ownership of the property in dispute is with the appellant or with the senior citizen. For that count, the parties will have liberty to avail the appropriate remedy before the appropriate forum to prove the same in accordance with law. In case the appellant is able to prove his ownership, the order passed by the authorities under the 2007 Act will not come in his way to enter the property again.

5. The present appeal stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No