



CRWP-4868-2026

1

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-4868-2026****Date of Decision: 28.04.2026****BABY KAUR AND ANOTHER****...PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 protect the life and liberty of petitioners, which is endangered the at respondents No. 4 hands of to 9 as the petitioners are living together against the wishes of the private respondents; further directing the official respondents not to implicate the petitioners in any false criminal case at the instance of the private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions



CRWP-4868-2026

2

are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.
6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

28.04.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No