



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-12954-2026**

Date of Decision : **29.04.2026**

**RAJWINDER KAUR**

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

**SECRETARY REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,  
JALANDHAR AND ANOTHER**

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)**

1. The main grievance which propelled the present petitioner to approach this Court by casting instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the authority concerned, in not deciding her legal notice dated 01.04.2026 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a *mandamus* is passed upon respondents, to take a final decision on her legal notice (*supra*), in a time bound manner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by Mr. Baljinder Singh Dhillon, RTA, Jalandhar, submits that he has no objection, if the aforesaid direction is passed upon the respondent authorities.

4. In view of the above, and considering the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, being innocuous and *bona fide*, this Court, without observing anything on merits of the instant case, directs the respondents, to take a final decision on the legal notice (*supra*), by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

**April 29, 2026**  
*dharamvir*

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |