



**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3597 of 2026 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 29.04.2026**

TAMANA

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

SANDIP AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Jassal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is for setting aside the impugned order dated 05.02.2026 (Annexure P-2), passed by the learned District Judge, Karnal, whereby the application filed by the petitioner for pre-mature release of FDR bearing Customer ID No. R54753958, dated 30.04.2025, pertaining to Account No. 520910PU00019916 with Punjab National Bank, was partly allowed and a sum of ₹1,00,000/- was directed to be released out of the FDR amount.

2. Brief facts of the case are that Nafedeen and others (including the present petitioner Tamana) had filed a claim petition under Sections 166 and 140 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, against respondent Sandip and others, who contested the claim petition. Ultimately, after appreciating the evidence led by the parties, the learned Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Karnal, accepted the claim petition and awarded an amount of



₹23,40,000/-, along with interest @ 9% per annum, in favour of the petitioner and others, vide Award dated 09.12.2024 (Annexure P-1). At the time of passing of the aforesaid Award, the present petitioner was a minor; as such, her share was ordered to be deposited in a Nationalised bank in the shape of FDRs for a period of three years or till she attains majority, whichever is later.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has attained majority, as her date of birth is 01.01.2008, as per her Secondary Examination Certificate dated 09.07.2025 (Annexure P-5).

3.1 It is further contended that the petitioner requires the amount for her education and, as such, at least 50% of the amount deposited with Punjab National Bank be released in her favour.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the opinion that issuance of notice to the respondents would unnecessarily delay the proceedings; accordingly, issuance of notice to the respondents is dispensed with.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that in the Award dated 09.12.2024 (Annexure P-1), it was directed by the learned Tribunal that the share of the present petitioner be deposited in the shape of FDRs for a period of three years or till she attains majority, whichever is later. Since the petitioner has now attained majority and requires the amount for her education, the impugned order dated 05.02.2026 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned



District Judge, Karnal, is set aside to the extent that the petitioner is permitted to withdraw 50% of her share of the awarded amount.

- 7. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.
- 8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

APRIL 29, 2026	(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
nitin	JUDGE
Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No