



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-13090-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.04.2026

VIKAS MITTAL

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Januha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to the respondents to revise or amend/clear interpretation of Rule 15 of The Punjab Civil Services (Executive Branch) Rules, 1976 as amended by the 2011 Rules and to frame a clear, fair and transparent selection framework for Register-C Punjab Civil Service (Executive Branch) (First Amendment) Rules, 2011 (Annexure P-1) to the extent that they failed to provide a clear, transparent and fair framework for recruitment, leading to undue litigation, unfair advantages and inefficiency in the selection process, with a further prayer for issuance of ad-interim/interim relief during the pendency of the writ petition and also to direct respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the representation dated 06.03.2026 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 06.03.2026 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 06.03.2026 (Annexure P-3) is considered and decided by the respondents in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. She submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to consider and decide the representation dated 06.03.2026 (Annexure P-3) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.04.2026

rakesh

Whether speaking

: *Yes/No*

Whether reportable

: *Yes/No*