



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

**CWP-12963-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.04.2026**

Bhupender @ Bhupendra

....Petitioner

Versus

The Appellate Authority, Haryana State Warehousing Corporation and
another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent/Corporation not to effect recovery from the petitioner pending the decision of the stay application filed in appeal dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) pending before respondent No.1, filed by the petitioner against the orders dated 17.03.2026/01.04.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2. Further prayer has been made to direct respondent No.1 to decide the appeal dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner against the orders dated 17.03.2026/01.04.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2. Another prayer has been made to stay the recovery from the petitioner during the pendency of writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to



decide the statutory appeal dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner. He further prays that till final disposal of the aforesaid statutory appeal, recovery from the petitioner may be stayed.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for Mr. Sukhdeep S. Parmar, Advocate, appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to hear and decide the statutory appeal dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-2), in a time bound manner.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.1 is directed to hear and decide the statutory appeal dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner and pass a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
6. Since the statutory appeal of the petitioner is still pending and yet to be decided, it would be in the interest of justice that no further recovery will be effected from the petitioner till the disposal of the appeal.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

29.04.2026

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No