



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

CWP-13855-2026

Date of Decision: 05.05.2026

SUNITA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for petitioner

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Deepak Vashisht, DAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication whereby her claim for compensation under Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojna (for short 'Deen Dayal Yojna') has been rejected on the ground of delay.

2. As per petition, the petitioner's husband passed away on 29.01.2024. He was 38 years' old. His annual family income as per Parivar Pehchan Patra was less than Rs.1,80,000/-. The petitioner filed online application on 28.05.2024 seeking compensation under Deen Dayal Yojna.



The respondent has rejected her claim on the ground that application was filed beyond 90 days.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instant case is squarely covered by order dated 01.05.2026 passed in *CWP-13358-2026*.

4. Learned State counsel expressed their inability to controvert applicability of aforesaid judgment to the instant case.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition is hereby allowed in terms of order dated 01.05.2026 passed in *CWP-13358-2026*.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.05.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No