



CRM-M-25687-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

253

CRM-M-25687-2025
Decided on : 11.05.2026

Sabir and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG Haryana

Mr. Vikrant Kondal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
0001	01.07.2022	420/406 IPC (Sections 467/468/471 and 120-B IPC added later on)	Cyber Crime, Panipat, District Panipat

2. Vide order dated 12.05.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



3. Report dated 05.06.2025 has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panipat, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herein below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Two accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Other aspects relevant to the present case are that accused Sakir was declared juvenile and his trial is pending before the learned Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Panipat



CRM-M-25687-2025

	whereas trial of accused Mr. Sabir is pending before tis Court. Further, another person namely Mr. Faizer son of Sh. Ashu was joined in investigation as co-accused but discharged during investigation by the investigating agency on account of deficient evidence against him. Lastly, as per the report of the Investigating Officer, another FIR No. 431, dated 27.07.2022, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Tehsil Camp, Panipat is also registered against accused persons Sakir and Sabir.
--	--

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.
6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.
7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panipat, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below
9. Petition stands disposed of.

11.05.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO