

2026:PHHC:072844



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M-23326-2026
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026**

ASHISH CHAUHAN

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.0078 dated 04.03.2026 registered under Section 115(2), 3(5), 308(5) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 (Section 61(2) & 238 of BNS, 2023 added later on) (Corresponding Sections 323, 34, 386 & 506(2) of IPC, 1860 (Section 120-B & 201 of IPC 1860 added later on) registered at Police Station Model Town Rewari, District Rewari.

2. On 27.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that of having made speak to co-accused Saurav, while he was not present at any of the subsequent events where the beatings have allegedly taken place. The matter has since been compromised, an affidavit of the complainant dated

06.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) is being referred to. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Mr. Rahul Sangwan, Advocate has appeared and filed his power of attorney on behalf of the complainant and he admitted the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 04.05.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 11.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sandeep Kumar affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

MAY 11, 2026.

Rajender

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No